

2016 IEEE PES General Meeting Panel on "Domain-Specific Big Data Analytics Tools in Power Systems"

Online Learning and Optimization for Smart Power Grid

Seung-Jun Kim

Department of Computer Sci. and Electrical Engineering University of Maryland, Baltimore County

> Boston, MA July 19, 2016





Outline

- Background and motivation
- Online learning and optimization framework
- Applications
 - A1) Real-time price setting for DR
 - A2) Online optimal power flow
 - A3) Online PMU data analysis
- Conclusion and future directions





Background and motivation

- Data deluge power system is not an exception
 - Plethora of sensors (smart meters, smart phones, PMUs, ...)
 - Networking technologies (high speed, low latency, IoT, ...)
 - Powerful analytics hardware/software
- Evolving landscape
 - More efficient and cleaner energy (smart grid, renewables, ...)
 - Increasing demand (electric vehicle, data centers, ...)
 - Resiliency against uncertainty





Challenges and opportunities

- Big data challenges
 - Large volume \rightarrow compression, sketching
 - High-rate \rightarrow low-complexity, real-time processing
 - Dirty \rightarrow cleansing, correction, security
 - Cyber-physical \rightarrow closing the loop
- Opportunities
 - Enhanced monitorability
 - Power of statistical analysis/learning
 - From model-based to data-driven (Let the data speak!)





Online learning & optimization

- Online versus batch processing
 - Low latency, real-time
 - Streaming data
 - Low-complexity update
 - Track dynamic variations
- Universality, robustness
 - No need of detailed models (rather, law of large numbers)
 - Strong guarantees even under strategic (game) play





Online convex optimization framework

- OCO framework: game between a player and an adversary
 - At each time slot t = 1, 2, ..., T
 - Player chooses \mathbf{p}^t
 - Adversary chooses c^t(·)
 - Player suffers loss $c^{t}(\mathbf{p}^{t})$ and receives feedback F^{t}
- OCO goal: produce {p^t} such that regret becomes sublinear

$$R_c(T) := \sum_{t=1}^T c^t(\mathbf{p}^t) - \min_{\mathbf{p} \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{t=1}^T c^t(\mathbf{p}) \quad \text{with } R_c(T)/T \to 0 \text{ as } T \to \infty$$





Application: Real-time pricing for DR

- Demand response via pricing
 - Indirect load control via pricing/incentivization
 - Privacy preserving; naturally decentralized
- Real-time pricing based on consumer preference
 - Adjust energy pricing in real-time to shape load
 - Set prices/incentives differently for different customers
 - Load elasticity changes across consumer and time
- **Q**: How to learn load elasticity robustly in real time with minimal modeling assumptions?





Problem formulation

- Model
 - p_k^t : price adjustment for customer k at time slot t
 - lt : load level at slot t without price adjustment
 - $\bullet \ \theta_k^t : elasticity of consumer k at slot t$
 - d_k^t : load adjustment of customer k due to price adjustment p_k^t

$$d_k^t = -\theta_k^t p_k^t \qquad \boldsymbol{\theta}^t := [\theta_1^t, \dots, \theta_K^t]^\mathsf{T}$$

- Aggregate adjusted load $l_a^t := l^t + \sum_{k=1}^K d_k^t = l^t {\boldsymbol{\theta}^t}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{p}^t$
- Objective: minimize load variance $\frac{1}{2}\sum_{t=1}^{T} (l^t \theta^T \mathbf{p}^t m^t)^2$
- Promote sparsity and fairness $c^{t}(\mathbf{p}^{t})$

Minimize
$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \left[\underbrace{\frac{1}{2} \left(l^t - \boldsymbol{\theta}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{p}^t - m^t \right)^2}_{:= \phi^t(\mathbf{p}^t)} + \lambda \|\mathbf{p}^t\|_1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{p}^t\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{p}^t\|_1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{p}^t\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{p}^t\|_1 + \frac{\mu}{2} \|\mathbf{p}^t\|_2^2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{p}^t\|_2 + \lambda \|\mathbf{p}$$



Algorithms

- Two types of feedback
 - Full feedback: $F^{t} = c^{t}(\cdot)$
 - Partial feedback: F^t = c^t(**p**^t)
 (better privacy)



- Algorithm for full feedback case
 - Composite objective mirror descent (COMID) [Duchi et al.'10]

$$\mathbf{p}^{t+1} = \arg\min_{\mathbf{p}\in\mathcal{P}} \left[-\eta(l^t - \theta^{t^{\mathsf{T}}}\mathbf{p}^t - m^t)\theta^{t^{\mathsf{T}}}\mathbf{p} + \frac{1}{2} ||\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}^t||_2^2 + \eta \left(\lambda ||\mathbf{p}||_1 + \frac{\mu}{2} ||\mathbf{p}||_2^2\right) \right]$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\nabla \phi^t(\mathbf{p}^t)}$$

$$\eta: \text{ step size parameter}$$

Provably achieves O(VT) regret bound





Numerical test for EV charging case



S.-J. Kim and G. B. Giannakis, "An Online Convex Optimization Approach to Real-Time



Energy Pricing for Demand Response," IEEE Trans. on Smart Grid, 2016 (to appear)



Online optimal power flow

- OPF is critical for efficient power system operation
 - Min. costs due to generation, losses, consumer disutility, etc.
 - Subject to: KCL, power balancing constraints
- Challenges
 - Nonconvexity (\rightarrow Convex relaxation)
 - Uncertainties (e.g. renewable generation)
- Existing approaches typically need elaborate models of uncertainty or computationally costly





Online OPF formulation

- A two-stage setup
 - In time slot *t* -1, decide generation levels $\{P_{q,n}^t\}, n \in \mathcal{N}_g$ for slot *t*
 - In time slot *t*, use the spot market to balance supply & demand
- Cost must capture both generation and spot market transaction

$$c^{t}(\mathbf{p}_{g}^{t}) := \underbrace{\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{g}} f_{n}(P_{g,n}^{t})}_{\mathbf{x}^{t} \succeq 0, \{P_{s,n}^{t}\}, \{Q_{s,n}^{t}\}, \{Q_{g,n}^{t}\}} \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_{s}} g_{n}^{t}(P_{s,n}^{t})$$
subject to
$$\underbrace{V_{n}^{2} \leq X_{nn}^{t} \leq \overline{V}_{n}^{2}, \quad n \in \mathcal{N}}_{X_{nn}^{t}} + X_{n'n'}^{t} - X_{nn'}^{t} - X_{n'n}^{t} \leq \overline{V}_{nn'}^{2}, \quad (n,n') \in \mathcal{E}$$

$$\operatorname{tr}\{\mathbf{X}^{t}\bar{\mathbf{Y}}_{n}\} - P_{g,n}^{t} + P_{l,n}^{t} - P_{s,n}^{t} = 0, \quad n \in \mathcal{N}$$

$$\operatorname{tr}\{\mathbf{X}^{t}\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}_{n}\} - Q_{g,n}^{t} + Q_{l,n}^{t} - Q_{r,n}^{t} - Q_{s,n}^{t} = 0, \quad n \in \mathcal{N}$$

$$\underbrace{Q_{g,n} \leq Q_{g,n}^{t} \leq \overline{Q}_{g,n}, \quad n \in \mathcal{N}_{g}$$





Power & Energy Society

Online PMU data analysis

- Phasor measurement unit (PMU)
 - High sampling rate: ~ 1 sample/20 ms
 - Precise synchronization across a wide area using GPS
 - Useful for monitoring dynamics of the power system
- Challenges with PMU data
 - Large volume of measurements
 - Fast and accurate inference
 - Incomplete measurements
 - Corrupt measurements







Method

- Robust subspace clustering model
 - Data points are assumed to lie in a union of subspaces $\{S_k\}$



- Subspaces can capture different modes of grid operation
- Low rank representation [Liu et al.'13]
 - Postulate data have subspace structures contaminated by sparse outliers

 $\mathbf{Z} \approx \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{E}$, $\mathbf{X} \approx \mathbf{DC}$

- **X** : outlier-corrected component, **E** : sparse
- **D** : dictionary, **C** : low-rank

Power & Energy Soc

- Our contribution: online algorithm





Results

Simulated PMU data

- 23-bus, 6-generator, 7-load test system simulated by PSS/E
- Line trip at t = 10 and 110 sec; closed back at t = 70 and 170
- Measurement Z are voltage magnitudes at all buses
- 5% of measurement are missing



Conclusions and future work

17

- Online learning framework from machine learning
- Robust performance guarantees
- Versatile to various applications
 - Demand response
 - Power system monitoring and management
- Future directions
 - More sophisticated learning techniques
 - Closing the gap for cyber-physical interaction

